



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,583	05/03/2001	Wolfgang Matthes	A-2820	8707
24131	7590	08/30/2005	EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			PRONE, JASON D	
P O BOX 2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			3724	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Tatn

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/848,583	MATTHES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason Prone	3724

All Participants:
Status of Application: after board decision

(1) Jason Prone. (3) _____.

(2) Laurence A Greenberg. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-10, 12, and 13

Prior art documents discussed:
Ito (4,922,773) and *Cannon et al.* (4,553,080)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made and a message left on Mr. Greenberg's voice mail explaining that the case would be re-opened because prior art found could be used to make a rejection. Applicant was given the opportunity to submit a supplemental amendment to try and overcome the prior art listed in the voice message. However, the applicant was only given to 30 August 2005 to contact the examiner or informally fax in the amendment to the examiner. Applicant was also notified that an Office action would be mailed out after the 30 August 2005 deadline.